

# BUREAU OF AERONAUTICS

## SECTION 106 REVIEW ARCHAEOLOGICAL/HISTORICAL INFORMATION

Wisconsin Department of Transportation

### I. PROJECT INFORMATION

FOS Project ID AIP-114 (WisDOT ID 0740-40-114) MKE Decommission and Remove RWY 1R-19L	County Milwaukee
Airport Name General Mitchell International Airport (MKE)	Airport Manager Brian Dranzik
Project Engineer/Project Manager Wendy Hottenstein	(Area Code) Telephone Number (608) 261-6278
Planning/Design Consultant Kaitlyn Wehner, Westwood	(Area Code) Telephone Number (920) 830-6183
Archaeological Consultant Rigden Glaab, Westwood	(Area Code) Telephone Number (952) 697-5791
Architecture/History Consultant Sara Nelson, Westwood	(Area Code) Telephone Number (952) 697-5790
Date of Need As soon as possible	24-0405

### II. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Type of Project     New Construction     Reconstruction     Recondition     Other  
                            Wetland Mitigation     Runway Extension     Land Acquisition

Known Cemetery

Amount of land to be disturbed:  
Acres 67.4 acres

Amount of acres to be acquired  
Acres 0.00

Describe ground disturbing activity associated with proposed construction - e.g., strip construction, slope grading, temporary bypass, realignment, stream channel change, etc.

**Brief Project Description:** (Be specific and include all activities associated with the project.)

The proposed project at General Mitchell International Airport (Airport) consists of the decommissioning and removal of Runway 1R-19L. The Airport owned and operated by Milwaukee County. The airport is located in the City of Milwaukee, Milwaukee County, Wisconsin; approximately two miles west of Lake Michigan and six miles south of downtown Milwaukee. Specifically, the proposed project is located within Airport property in Sections 28 and 33 of Township 6 North, Range 22 East in Milwaukee County, Wisconsin.

Recently the Airport completed a Master Plan Update which established the needs and goals for the future of the Airport. The purpose for the proposed project is to align the airfield configuration with the Master Plan Update goals and the recently approved Airport Layout Plan. The proposed project will enhance airfield compliance with updated Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) standards.

The proposed project activities will consist of the decommissioning of Runway 1R-19L and the removal of approximately 53,000 SY of pavement with restoration to turf between the north end of the Runway 1R-19L and Taxiway W and associated electrical utilities and NAVAIDS. Additionally, two alternatives are being considered to maintain airfield access for the 128th WI Air National Guard Unit located east of Runway 1R-19L. Alternate A consists of the rehabilitation and conversion of Runway 1R-19L south of Taxiway W to a parallel taxiway including associated lighting and taxiway connector rehabilitation. Or, Alternate B consists of the construction of a partial parallel taxiway and connectors including associated lighting. The proposed taxiway will be located west of Runway 1R-19L, connecting Taxiway W and Taxiway S to align with the approved Airport Layout Plan. Possible haul routes and staging areas are located on airport property utilizing existing paved or gravel roads and staging areas for other airfield projects.

Construction for the proposed project is anticipated to start during the spring of 2027 and continue through the fall of 2028.

**III. NOTIFICATION**

How has notification of the project been provided to:

- Property Owners
- Public Information Meeting Notice
- Letter [required for Archaeology]
- Telephone Call
- Other
- Historical Societies/Organizations
- Public Information Meeting Notice
- Letter
- Telephone Call
- Other
- Native American Tribes
- Must notify with:**
- Public Info. Mtg. Notice
- Letter

\*Attach one copy of the base letter, list of addresses and comments received. For history include telephone memos as appropriate.

**IV. AREA OF POTENTIAL EFFECTS [APE]**

**HISTORY:** Describe the area of potential effects for buildings/structures.

The area of potential effects lies completely within the airport property boundary. There are no existing building or structures within the area of potential effects. Twenty-nine historical resources stand within one mile of the Project. The proposed project is not anticipated to impact any historical resources.

If you wish to claim there is no APE for buildings/structures, you must justify that claim. [NOTE: If there are no buildings/structures of any kind in the APE, go to Item V., check "Architecture/History survey is not needed" and state why.]

**ARCHAEOLOGY: Area of potential effect** for archaeology is the existing and proposed ROW, temporary and permanent easements. Agricultural practices do not constitute a ground disturbance.

**V. SURVEY NEEDED**

ARCHAEOLOGY	HISTORY
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Archaeological survey <b>is needed</b> [See Chapter 26-35-1 of FDM for procedure and # of exhibits]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Architecture/History survey is needed
<input type="checkbox"/> Archaeological survey <b>is not needed</b> - provide justification <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> SHPO records search conducted ___ (date).</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Screening list ___ (date).</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> No potential to affect archaeological sites</li> </ul> Describe project area and attach project plans	<input type="checkbox"/> Architecture/History survey is not needed

**VI. SURVEY COMPLETED-Documentation required for submittal to TSS**

ARCHAEOLOGY	HISTORY
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Project maps attached [most recent design] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ASFR attached [NO archaeological sites(s) identified] <input type="checkbox"/> Report attached [NO potentially eligible site(s) in project area] <input type="checkbox"/> Report attached [potentially eligible site(s) avoided] <input type="checkbox"/> Report attached - cemetery documentation <input type="checkbox"/> Native American response letters & reports [Send four reports + # of copies for NA requests to district.]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A/HSF attached [NO buildings/structures identified] <input type="checkbox"/> A/HSF attached [potentially eligible buildings/structures identified.]

**VII. EVALUATION COMPLETED-Documentation required for submittal to TSS**

<input type="checkbox"/> Report attached [no arch site(s) eligible for NRHP] <input type="checkbox"/> Report and DOE attached [arch site(s) eligible for NRHP] <input type="checkbox"/> Report and draft DOE attached [arch site(s) eligible for NRHP—avoided through project redesign]	<input type="checkbox"/> DOE attached [no buildings/structure(s) eligible for NRHP] <input type="checkbox"/> DOE attached [building/structure(s) eligible for NRHP]
---	--

**VIII. COMMITMENTS**

**IX. PROJECT REVIEW**

- No eligible properties in APE
- No effect on historic buildings and/or archaeological sites eligible for NRHP
- Eligible properties may be affected by project ~~go to Step 4~~ - Assess effects and begin consultation

<p><i>Wendy Hottenstein</i>                      _____                      (BOA Project Manager)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1/16/24                      _____                      (Date)</p> <p><i>Heather Wolman</i>                      _____                      (Consultant Project Manager)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">12/8/2023                      _____                      (Date)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Barry Payne</i>                      _____                      (WisDOT Historic Preservation Officer)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">25 February 2024                      _____                      (Date)</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">DocuSigned by:  <i>Kimberly Coaf</i>                      _____                      (State Historic Preservation Officer)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">28 February 2024                      _____                      (Date)</p>
---	---	---

# BUREAU OF AERONAUTICS

## SECTION 106 REVIEW ARCHAEOLOGICAL/HISTORICAL INFORMATION

Wisconsin Department of Transportation

### I. PROJECT INFORMATION

FOS Project ID AIP-114 (WisDOT ID 0740-40-114) MKE Decommission and Remove RWY 13-31	County Milwaukee
Airport Name General Mitchell International Airport (MKE)	Airport Manager Brian Dranzik
Project Engineer/Project Manager Wendy Hottenstein	(Area Code) Telephone Number (608) 261-6278
Planning/Design Consultant Kaitlyn Wehner, Westwood	(Area Code) Telephone Number (920) 830-6183
Archaeological Consultant Rigden Glaab, Westwood	(Area Code) Telephone Number (952) 697-5791
Architecture/History Consultant Sara Nelson, Westwood	(Area Code) Telephone Number (952) 697-5790
Date of Need As soon as possible	24-0404

### II. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Type of Project     New Construction     Reconstruction     Recondition     Other  
 Wetland Mitigation     Runway Extension     Land Acquisition

Known Cemetery

Amount of land to be disturbed:

Acres 60.9 acres

Amount of acres to be acquired

Acres 0.00

Describe ground disturbing activity associated with proposed construction - e.g., strip construction, slope grading, temporary bypass, realignment, stream channel change, etc.

**Brief Project Description:** (Be specific and include all activities associated with the project.)

The proposed project at General Mitchell International Airport (Airport) consists of the decommissioning and removal of Runway 13-31. The Airport owned and operated by Milwaukee County. The Airport is located in the City of Milwaukee, Milwaukee County, Wisconsin; approximately two miles west of Lake Michigan and six miles south of downtown Milwaukee. Specifically, the proposed project is located within airport property in Sections 27 and 28 of Township 6 North, Range 22 East in Milwaukee County, Wisconsin.

Recently the Airport completed a Master Plan Update which established the needs and goals for the future of the Airport. The purpose for the proposed project is to align the airfield configuration with the Master Plan Update goals and the recently approved Airport Layout Plan. The proposed project will enhance airfield compliance with updated Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) standards.

The proposed project activities will consist of the decommissioning of Runway 13-31 and the removal of Taxiway G, Taxiway U, and Taxiway N connectors. The project is anticipated to include the removal of approximately 126,900 SY of pavement and associated electrical utilities and NAVAIDs for Runway 13-31, Taxiway G, Taxiway U, and Taxiway N and restoration to turf. The proposed project also consists of the addition of a holding bay adjacent to Taxiway M including associated lighting. Possible haul routes and staging areas are located on airport property utilizing existing paved or gravel roads and staging areas for other airfield projects.

Construction for the proposed project is anticipated to start during the spring of 2027 and continue through the fall of 2028.

**III. NOTIFICATION**

How has notification of the project been provided to:

- Property Owners
- Public Information Meeting Notice
- Letter [required for Archaeology]
- Telephone Call
- Other

- Historical Societies/Organizations
- Public Information Meeting Notice
- Letter
- Telephone Call
- Other

- Native American Tribes
- Must notify with:**
- Public Info. Mtg. Notice
- Letter

\*Attach one copy of the base letter, list of addresses and comments received. For history include telephone memos as appropriate.

**IV. AREA OF POTENTIAL EFFECTS [APE]**

**HISTORY:** Describe the area of potential effects for buildings/structures.

The area of potential effects lies completely within the airport property boundary. There are no existing building or structures within the area of potential effects. Thirty-one historical resources stand within one mile of the Project. A historic building is located off airport property approximately 0.26 miles north of the area of potential effects. The proposed project is not anticipated to impact any historical resources and the historic building.

If you wish to claim there is no APE for buildings/structures, you must justify that claim. [NOTE: If there are no buildings/structures of any kind in the APE, go to Item V., check "Architecture/History survey is not needed" and state why.]

**ARCHAEOLOGY: Area of potential effect** for archaeology is the existing and proposed ROW, temporary and permanent easements. Agricultural practices do not constitute a ground disturbance.

**V. SURVEY NEEDED**

ARCHAEOLOGY	HISTORY
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Archaeological survey <b>is needed</b> [See Chapter 26-35-1 of FDM for procedure and # of exhibits]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Architecture/History survey is needed
<input type="checkbox"/> Archaeological survey <b>is not needed</b> - provide justification <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> SHPO records search conducted ___ (date).</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Screening list ___ (date).</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> No potential to affect archaeological sites</li> </ul> Describe project area and attach project plans	<input type="checkbox"/> Architecture/History survey is not needed

**VI. SURVEY COMPLETED-Documentation required for submittal to TSS**

ARCHAEOLOGY	HISTORY
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Project maps attached [most recent design]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A/HSF attached [NO buildings/structures identified]
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ASFR attached [NO archaeological sites(s) identified]	<input type="checkbox"/> A/HSF attached [potentially eligible buildings/structures identified.]
<input type="checkbox"/> Report attached [NO potentially eligible site(s) in project area]	
<input type="checkbox"/> Report attached [potentially eligible site(s) avoided]	
<input type="checkbox"/> Report attached - cemetery documentation	
<input type="checkbox"/> Native American response letters & reports [Send four reports + # of copies for NA requests to district.]	

**VII. EVALUATION COMPLETED-Documentation required for submittal to TSS**

- Report attached [no arch site(s) eligible for NRHP]
- Report and DOE attached [arch site(s) eligible for NRHP]
- Report and draft DOE attached [arch site(s) eligible for NRHP—avoided through project redesign]
- DOE attached [no buildings/structure(s) eligible for NRHP]
- DOE attached [building/structure(s) eligible for NRHP]

**VIII. COMMITMENTS**

**IX. PROJECT REVIEW**

- No eligible properties in APE
- No effect on historic buildings and/or archaeological sites eligible for NRHP
- Eligible properties may be affected by project-go to Step 4: Assess effects and begin consultation

<p><i>Wandy Hottenstein</i>                      (BOA Project Manager)</p> <p>1/16/24                      (Date)</p> <p><i>Kathryn Weber</i>                      (Consultant Project Manager)</p> <p>12/8/2023                      (Date)</p>	<p><i>Barry Payne</i>                      (WisDOT Historic Preservation Officer)</p> <p>25 February 2024                      (Date)</p>	<p>DocuSigned by:  <i>Kimberly Cook</i>                      (State Historic Preservation Officer)</p> <p>28 February 2024                      (Date)</p>
--	---	--