## **BUREAU OF AERONAUTICS**

## SECTION 106 REVIEW ARCHAEOLOGICAL/HISTORICAL INFORMATION

Wisconsin Department of Transportation

I.	PROJECT INFORMATION						
	FOS Project ID		County				
	WisDOT ID 0715-41-11 (BOA SUE1002 / AIP 3-55-0	082-11)	Door				
	Airport Name		Airport Manager				
	Door County Cherryland Airport		Craig Ross				
	Project Engineer/Project Manager		(Area Code) Telephone Number				
	Austin Levin, WisDOT Bureau of Aeronautics	(608) 267-9371					
	Planning/Design Consultant	(Area Code) Telephone Number					
	Stephanie Senst, Westwood	(920) 830-6128					
	Archaeological Consultant	(Area Code) Telephone Number					
	Rigden Glaab, Westwood	(952) 697-5791					
	Architecture/History Consultant	(Area Code) Telephone Number					
	Sara Nelson, Westwood	(952) 697-5790					
	Date of Need	23-2662					
	As soon as possible		23 2002				
II. PROJECT DESCRIPTION							
Тур	e of Project  New Construction	Reconstruction Reco	ondition Other				
	Wetland Mitigation	Runway Extension  Land	Acquisition				
	Known Cemetery	Amount of land to be disturbed:	Amount of acres to be acquired				
		Acres 128.5	Acres				
Describe ground disturbing activity associated with proposed construction - e.g., strip construction, slope grading, temporary bypass,							
realignment, stream channel change, etc.							

Brief Project Description: (Be specific and include all activities associated with the project.)

The purpose of the proposed project at Door County Cherryland Airport (SUE) is to rehabilitate Runway 2-20, Taxiway A, associated connectors, and bring the Runway Safety Area (RSA) into compliance with FAA standards. The entire project is located on airport property except for the removal of tree obstructions area where the airport has avigation easements to address tree obstruction removal and the north end of the Runway 2-20 where RSA grading is required. Part of the RSA grading work includes filling an area of wetlands to meet FAA standards. Wetlands will be properly mitigated through WisDOT-WDNR wetland mitigation bank credits. The airport is owned by and operated by the County of Door.

Proposed improvements include but not limited to the following: full pavement replacement for Runway 2-20 and Taxiway A; replacement of NAVAIDs/lighting fixtures; address any RSA grading and drainage issues as needed; rehabilitation of the AWOS access road located on the south end of the airfield; removal of tree obstructions that area protruding into within 10 feet of obstructions to the FAA aeronautical surfaces; drainage culvert replacements as needed; adjustments to the PAPI electrical control bases. Possible haul routes, staging areas, and borrow sites area located on airport property within the project APE. Construction for the removal of tree obstructions is expected to start as early as fall/winter of 2024. Construction of all other project work is anticipated to start spring of 2026 and continue through the fall of 2026.

III.NOT	TFICATION						
	Property Owners Public Information Meeting Notice Letter [required for Archaeology] Telephone Call: Called Airport Commissioner before archaeology survey Other *Attach one copy of the base letter, list of ad	w has notification of the project been vided to:  Property Owners  Public Information Meeting Notice  Letter [required for Archaeology]  Telephone Call: Called Airport unissioner before archaeology survey  Whas notification of the project been vided to:  Historical Societies/Organizations  Public Information Meeting Notice  Letter: Door County Historical Society  (sent via to email: office@doorcountyhistoricalsociety.org)  Telephone Call  Other  Native American Tribes  Must notify with:  Public Info. Mtg. Notice  Sent via to email: office@doorcountyhistoricalsociety.org)  Letter					
IV.ARE	A OF POTENTIAL EFFECTS [APE]						
	HISTORY: Describe the area of potential effects for buildings/structures.  There are no historic-age NRHP listed or eligible resources in the architecture/history APE. This Project will have no direct or indirect effects on historic properties (see History Letter Report for further discussion).  If you wish to claim there is no APE for buildings/structures, you must justify that claim. [NOTE: If there are no buildings/structures of any kind in the APE, go to Item V., check "Architecture/History survey is not needed" and state why.]  ARCHAEOLOGY: Area of potential effect for archaeology is the existing and proposed ROW, temporary and permanent easements.						
	Agricultural practices do not constitute a ground disturbance.						
V. SUR	VEY NEEDED						
	ARCHAEOLOG	<b>GY</b>	HISTORY				
			☐ Architecture/History survey is needed				
	☐ Archaeological survey is not needed - provide justification ☐ SHPO records search conducted (date). ☐ Screening list (date). ☐ No potential to affect archaeological sites ☐ Describe project area and attach project plans		Architecture/History survey is not needed				
VI.SUR	VEY COMPLETED-Documentation re	quired for submittal to T	TSS				
	ARCHAEOLOG	Y	HISTORY				
	<ul> <li>□ Project maps attached [most recent design]</li> <li>□ ASFR attached [NO archaeological sites(s) identified]</li> <li>□ Report attached [NO potentially eligible site(s) in project area]</li> <li>□ Report attached [potentially eligible site(s) avoided]</li> <li>□ Report attached - cemetery documentation</li> <li>□ Native American response letters &amp; reports</li> <li>[Send four reports + # of copies for NA requests to district.]</li> </ul>						
VII.	EVALUATION COMPLETED-Documentation required for submittal to TSS						
	☐ Report attached [no arch site(s) eligible for NRHP]       ☐ DOE attached [no buildings/structure(s) eligible for NRHP]         ☐ Report and DOE attached [arch site(s) eligible for NRHP]       ☐ DOE attached [building/structure(s) eligible for NRHP]         ☐ Report and draft DOE attached [arch site(s) eligible for NRHP]       ☐ DOE attached [building/structure(s) eligible for NRHP]         ☐ NRHP—avoided through project redesign]       ☐ DOE attached [building/structure(s) eligible for NRHP]						
VIII.	COMMITMENTS						
IX.PRO	JECT REVIEW						
	No eligible properties in APE  ☐ No effect on historic buildings and/or archaeological sites eligible for NRHP  ☐ Eligible properties may be affected by project-go, to Stopus Igness effects and begin consultation  — Docusigned by:						
	AUSTIN LEVIN	Barry Paye		kim Cook			
	(BOA Project Manager)	(WisDOT Historic Prese	, and the second	(State Historic Preservation Officer)			
	12/4/2023	21 December 2	023	09 January 2024			
	(Date)	(Date)		(Date)			
	Stystram Sent						
	(Consultant Project Manager)						
	11/29/2023						
	(Date)	İ					